
Subject: Approval of Various Single Source Public Works New Purchase Orders and Purchase Order Change Request

Report to: Public Works Committee

Report date: Tuesday, September 8, 2020

Recommendations

1. That the Purchase Order (PO) Change Requests identified in Appendix 1 of this report **BE APPROVED**.

Key Facts

- The purpose of this report is to seek Public Works Committee's approval to proceed with the Purchase Order Change Requests identified in Appendix 1.
- At the Special Council meeting on July 30, 2020, Regional Council instructed staff that, "If any single source [Public Works] procurement [over \$5000] is deemed essential there must be approval first received by the Public Works Committee."
- On August 18, 2020, the CAO provided Confidential Memorandum CONF-C 6-2020 providing key information in response to the July 30, 2020 staff direction.
- The memo identified that pursuant to a formal competitive procurement process, a change to the resulting contract (via the Change PO process) is required for any additional goods and/or services, which were not part of that formal process (consider Single Source additions). This includes most (if not all) instances where the "work" is underway when a Change PO request initiates.

Financial Considerations

All of the Change PO requests identified in Appendix 1 have approved funding in place from either Capital or Operating budgets in the respective divisions in Public Works.

Analysis

Staff within the Public Works Department are currently managing in excess of 140 essential infrastructure projects valued at more than \$160 million dollars. These include planning, design, preventative maintenance and construction.

Niagara Region's Procurement By-law 02-2016 as amended February 28, 2018 provides controls and methods that ensure, among other things, that the procurement

process achieves, “best value for the Corporation when procuring Goods and/or Services”.

Pursuant to the formal procurement process, which culminates in contract award, there are occasions, when new information identified after award, requires further consideration of how these unforeseen additional requirements will impact the final project deliverable.

When this happens, staff consider the following alternative approaches to addressing the scope change:

1. Is the original project objective still achievable or should it be abandoned?
2. Can the project proceed as originally planned and this new information be deferred to a later time without reducing the integrity of the design, construction, etc.?
3. Is the current work at a point where it can be terminated, and a new competitive procurement for the additional scope items be initiated without excessive costs or negative impacts to the community from the delays?
4. Does the addition of this new work to the current assignment still achieve best value if Staff can validate that it represents fair value?

Staff note, that where a construction project is underway, the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act dictates that, “When an owner undertakes a project by contracting with more than one employer (contractor), the owner is undertaking the project and is the constructor.” As a result, if Niagara Region were to initiate additional work on a project site at the same time by two contractors, Niagara Region would assume significant additional liability risk for the safety of all workers on the site. Ideally, the work of first contractor must be completed prior second contractor commencing.

Alternatives Reviewed

Staff have considered the following alternatives for each of the change order requests appended as Schedule 1:

- Closing out the current work. Abandoning the previous approach and re-considering strategy;
- Proceeding as originally planned and addressing the new information/change in scope at a later time through a competitive process; and,

- Terminating the current contract where possible and conducting a competitive procurement process to complete the work with the additional scope items added.

Staff conclude that none of the aforementioned options achieves the desired best value outcome without significant risk to the Region in terms of cost, delay and unavailability of critical infrastructure. Staff have assessed the financial impact of these additionally scoped items, deemed them fair and reasonable hence, the recommendation contained herein is presented for approval as it offers the best value for the Corporation given in the specific circumstances.

Relationship to Council Strategic Priorities

- Responsible Growth and Infrastructure Planning

Other Pertinent Reports

- CONF-C 6-2020 Update from Special Council Meeting July 30, 2020 Closed Session

Prepared and Recommended by:

Bruce Zvaniga, P.Eng.
Commissioner of Public Works (Interim)
Public Works Department

Submitted by:

Ron Tripp, P.Eng.
Acting Chief Administrative Officer

This report was prepared in consultation with Dan Ane, Manager Program Financial Support and Bart Menage, Director Procurement and Strategic Acquisitions

Appendices

Appendix 1 Purchase Order Change Requests to Existing Contract