



Media Guidelines for Reporting on Suicide: 2017 Update of the Canadian Psychiatric Association Policy Paper

**Mark Sinyor, MSc, MD, FRCPC¹; Ayal Schaffer, MD, FRCPC²; Marnin J. Heisel, PhD, CPsych³;
André Picard, BComm, BJourn, Hon LLD⁴; Gavin Adamson, MJ⁵; Christian P. Cheung, BSc Candidate⁶;
Laurence Y. Katz, MD, FRCPC⁷; Rakesh Jetly, MD, FRCPC⁸; Jitender Sareen, MD, FRCPC⁹**

This paper has been substantially revised by the Canadian Psychiatric Association's Research Committee and approved for republication by the CPA's Board of Directors on May 3, 2017. The original policy paper¹ was developed by the Scientific and Research Affairs Standing Committee and approved by the Board of Directors on November 10, 2008.

Summary

A substantial body of research suggests that media reports about people who have died by suicide, as well as the topic of suicide in general, can influence vulnerable people and is associated with higher subsequent rates of suicide. Emerging evidence also suggests that reports about people overcoming suicidal crises may lower

suicide rates. The original 2009 Canadian Psychiatric Association (CPA) policy paper on media reporting of suicide¹ led to meaningful discussion between mental health professionals and journalists in Canada. This second iteration of the policy paper reviews the most up-to-date evidence relating to media reporting and suicide, and updates recommendations with more direct

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario; Psychiatrist, Department of Psychiatry, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario

² Interim Psychiatrist-in-Chief and Head, Mood and Anxiety Disorders Program, Department of Psychiatry, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario; Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario; Vice-President, Education, International Society for Bipolar Disorders

³ Associate Professor and Research Director (Psychiatry), Departments of Psychiatry and of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, Western University, London, Ontario; Scientist, Lawson Health Research Institute, London, Ontario; Adjunct Faculty, University of Rochester Center for the Study and Prevention of Suicide, Rochester, New York

⁴ Health Columnist, The Globe and Mail, Toronto, Ontario

⁵ Associate Professor, School of Journalism, Ryerson University, Toronto, Ontario

⁶ Research Student, Department of Psychiatry, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario.

⁷ Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba

⁸ Head, Centre of Excellence, Directorate of Mental Health, Canadian Armed Forces Health Services, Ottawa, Ontario; Chair, Military Mental Health, Royal Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, Ontario; Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia

⁹ Professor and Head, Department of Psychiatry, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba

© Copyright 2018, Canadian Psychiatric Association. All rights reserved. This document may not be reproduced without written permission of the CPA. Members' comments are welcome. Please address all comments and feedback to: President, Canadian Psychiatric Association, 141 Laurier Avenue West, Suite 701, Ottawa, ON K1P 5J3; Tel: 613-234-2815; Fax: 613-234-9857; e-mail: president@cpa-apc.org. Reference 2009-3PP-R1.

Note: It is the policy of the Canadian Psychiatric Association to review each position paper, policy statement and clinical practice guideline every five years after publication or last review. Any such document that has been published more than five years ago and does not explicitly state it has been reviewed and retained as an official document of the CPA, either with revisions or as originally published, should be considered as a historical reference document only.

engagement and input from the journalism community. Recommendations are meant as a guide for all relevant stakeholders, including journalists, editors, producers, journalism educators, researchers, policy makers, mental health professionals, and social media platforms. The paper suggests a framework for approaching suicide-related coverage and outlines potentially harmful and helpful aspects of reporting that should be avoided and included, respectively. Recommendations include using appropriate language, trying to reduce the stigma around mental disorders, and providing information about alternatives to suicide. Pertinent resources for people contemplating suicide, such as crisis services, should also be provided and can be directly linked to reports that appear online. Simplistic or glorified depictions of suicide should be avoided, and suicide should not be presented as a way of solving problems. Reports should avoid details of suicide methods, particularly if they are novel or unusual. Recommendations also include that, where possible, suicide should be covered by or with the input of health reporters who are best positioned to contextualize suicide within the broader topic of mental health. The paper also makes preliminary recommendations for social media and suggests collaboration with online platforms to help establish organizational standards concerning the dissemination of information about suicide.

Introduction

Scientific evidence from numerous natural experiments worldwide demonstrates that media reporting of suicide can sometimes result in contagion, with increased suicide rates across a population.²⁻¹² The association has satisfied the criteria of consistency, strength, temporality, specificity, and coherence required to conclude that there is a causal relationship.¹³⁻¹⁴ The research evidence indicates that, in general, more suicide deaths occur following repetitive reporting of suicide.⁵⁻⁶ This relationship is widely known as the Werther Effect, a reference to a 1774 novella published by Goethe describing the death by suicide of a young man who was rejected by the young woman he loved.² This suicide contagion effect is thought to be mediated by social learning, whereby a vulnerable person identifies with people depicted in the media and may be more apt to copy their suicidal behaviour and subsequently die by suicide.^{5-7,15-16} The effect may be particularly pronounced for youth, a group that can be more susceptible to social learning,¹⁷⁻²² and in cases where the media report relates to a celebrity, whose behaviour people may be more prone to emulate.^{4,12,16,23-27} In contrast, the effect

does not seem to occur if the person who died by suicide was a criminal.¹⁶ Although the best evidence in this area comes from large, population-based, natural experiments, where it is challenging to prove exposure to media reports, findings from psychological autopsy studies, reviews of suicide notes, and interviews with people who have attempted suicide show that many have or were exposed to suicide-related media content, which influenced suicidal behaviour.^{8,28-34} More recently, Niederkrotenthaler et al. postulated a corollary effect to the Werther Effect called the Papageno Effect, whereby media reporting emphasizing a positive outcome of a suicidal crisis may be associated with lower subsequent suicide rates.⁵ This was based on a latent class analysis examining media and suicide reporting in Austria. The authors found that articles stressing “mastery of crisis,” in which people contemplating suicide employed adaptive coping strategies rather than suicidal behaviour, were associated with a subsequent decrease in the rates of suicide.⁵ The “active ingredients” of reporting that mediate contagion of suicide and adaptive behaviour are not fully understood; however, there is general consensus on putatively harmful and protective aspects of media reporting, and these form the basis for media guidelines.

Guidelines for responsible media reporting of suicide have been developed across numerous countries and jurisdictions worldwide.³⁵⁻³⁸ Several guidelines have been produced in Canada, including those from the Canadian Psychiatric Association,¹ the Canadian Association for Suicide Prevention (CASP),³⁹ and the Mindset guidelines developed by journalists themselves.⁴⁰ Media guidelines have demonstrable impact on the quality of reporting on suicide⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ and, in some cases, have been associated with lower suicide rates.^{42,45} It is estimated that guidelines can prevent more than 1% of suicide deaths; such a reduction in Canada would translate to the prevention of more than 40 deaths per year across the country.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷ Canadian studies examining media reporting—in general and per the guidelines above—are limited. A recent study examining adherence to Mindset’s 14 specific recommendations in the aftermath of a celebrity suicide found that most recommendations were followed (range of adherence was 65% to 99% of articles), except for the recommendation to tell people considering suicide how they can get help (present in only 27% of articles).⁴⁸

The original CPA position paper on media reporting and suicide¹ garnered controversy from some who expressed scepticism about the evidence base for suicide contagion,⁴⁹ and argued that perceived efforts to suppress suicide-related stories are counter-productive.⁵⁰ In the interim, there has been increased engagement

between mental health professionals and the media via informal dialogue surrounding specific reports, through symposia at the CPA annual meeting, and during and after Canada's first media forum for suicide prevention, held in Toronto in November, 2015.⁴⁹ In part due to a greater public desire for information about mental health, journalists are increasingly interested in covering issues related to mental health, including suicide, in a respectful and destigmatizing manner.⁴⁹ Most suicide deaths are not newsworthy and the media are sensitive to concerns about contagion; however, deciding when and how to cover suicide is a delicate balancing act.⁴⁹ Rather than telling journalists how to do their jobs, consensus is that the mental health community needs to work collaboratively with the media and provide them with the best available information to make those difficult decisions, and to provide context and help mitigate risks of contagion when the decision is to proceed with a report.^{36,39,49}

One relatively new aspect to this discussion is the proliferation of social media and the implications for media guidelines on reporting suicide.⁵¹⁻⁵² There are significant concerns about pro-suicide content, which accounts for a substantial proportion of suicide related-information online,⁵³⁻⁵⁴ and that users may use social media to learn about suicide,⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶ disseminate suicide methods,⁵⁷⁻⁵⁸ normalize and desensitize people to self-injurious behaviour,⁵⁹ and publish suicide notes.⁶⁰⁻⁶¹ Social media sites also provide opportunities for prevention through learning about alternatives to suicide, resources for getting help, and for access to peers who have mastered suicidal crises.^{52,62} Some platforms have developed built-in responses in which, for example, queries about suicide prompt the display of prevention resources or where users can report concerns about people who may be expressing suicidal ideation.^{52,62-64} It has been suggested that, in the age of the internet, media guidelines may be impractical or irrelevant given the difficulty inherent in trying to constrain or regulate billions of comments and postings.⁶⁵ However, there is general agreement that social media sites should facilitate access to health information and resources for people contemplating suicide.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁶ Furthermore, studies show that the traditional media commonly uses social networking sites like Facebook and Twitter to inform their coverage and, likewise, their coverage can influence social media.⁵¹ This bidirectional relationship suggests that the approach of the traditional media to covering suicide is likely to have some impact on how it is depicted in social media.

The goals of this updated policy paper are 1) to increase engagement with the journalism community and to adjust previous recommendations collaboratively with journalists; 2) where possible, to achieve consistency between CPA recommendations and recent Canadian and international guidelines; and 3) to address the challenging issue of recommendations in the context of new online and social media. The recommendations below stem from a careful review of the available literature and of Canadian and international guidelines, as well as discussion with journalists and mental health professionals.

Recommendations for Traditional Media Coverage

Table 1 outlines in detail the recommended approach to developing a suicide-related report. Table 2 describes specific elements to be avoided and included, respectively, in media reports. We highlight 3 of these recommendations for special attention:

1. Health reporters, not crime reporters, are best positioned to cover suicides.

A key element of these recommendations is that, as much as possible, suicide be covered by health reporters rather than crime reporters or other journalists. The notion that suicide is a crime rather than the result of a mental disorder is archaic. Crime reporting often includes graphic details of the suicide to make reports more exciting and sensationalistic. Such detailed reporting for suicide coverage is inappropriate and may promote contagion. Health journalists have the greatest awareness of the complex issues surrounding suicide reporting and are therefore best positioned to cover the topic. We acknowledge that there may be situations where other journalists, such as sports, entertainment, or financial reporters, may want to cover suicide deaths in their areas; however, we recommend that they do so cautiously, paying attention to these guidelines, and we suggest they consult with their health reporter colleagues about suicide-related content.

2. Reports should generally avoid details of suicide methods, especially when unusual or novel methods are involved.

There is growing evidence that media reporting on novel methods of suicide has led to dramatic increases in suicide deaths by these methods and in overall suicide rates in various areas of the world.⁶⁷⁻⁷⁰ Whereas media reports should generally avoid details of suicide methods, as these can lead to contagion effects, such an effect may be particularly pronounced when unusual

Table 1. Factors for Journalists and Editors/Producers to Consider Before Covering Suicide-Related Content

1. Weigh the story's newsworthiness and the public's need to be informed with potential harm related to contagion.
 - Be familiar with your organizational guidelines relating to reporting on suicide.
 - If the decision is to proceed with coverage, plan and/or discuss how harm might be minimized.
 - Seek advice from suicide prevention experts.
 - Be especially cautious when reporting on celebrity or youth suicide deaths, as these currently have the strongest evidence for contagion.
 - Consider how a vulnerable person may identify with the suicidal behaviour/people depicted, and consider steps that might minimize this.
2. Consider the impact of the report on:
 - those thinking of suicide or potentially at-risk for suicide,
 - those bereaved by suicide, including attention to respect for their privacy and grief,
 - the journalist who is reporting the story.
3. Consider the appropriate approach/format.
 - Suicide reporting should generally be done by health reporters rather than other journalists (e.g., crime reporters), as they are best positioned to contextualize the issue within the broader topic of mental health; if other journalists do report, they should at least consult with guidelines and/or health reporter colleagues.
 - Where possible, long-form reporting is recommended, as it allows journalists the opportunity for nuanced discussion and may avoid presenting the causes of suicide in an overly simplistic fashion.

Table 2. Recommendations for Potentially Harmful Elements of Media Reporting that Should Be Avoided and Potentially Helpful Elements to Include

Avoid	Include
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Prominent coverage, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • front page/lead story coverage • prominent photos of the deceased or loved ones or people engaged in suicidal behaviour 2. Graphic or sensational depictions 3. Excessive detail, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • details or photos of the method and/or location; particularly avoid reporting novel or uncommon methods • glorifying or glamourizing either the person or the act of suicide in a way that might lead others to identify with them • the content of suicide notes 4. Repetitive or excessive coverage^a 5. Inappropriate use of language, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the word "suicide" in the headline • "commit" or "committed" suicide^b • "successful/unsuccessful" or "failed" attempts 6. Simplistic or superficial reasons for the suicide (i.e., suicide as arising from a single cause or event, such as blaming social media for suicide) 7. Portraying suicide as achieving results and solving problems <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • do not describe suicidal behaviour as quick, easy, painless, certain to result in death, or relieving suffering/leading to peace ("in a better place") 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Appropriate language (e.g., "he died by suicide" or "her suicide death") 2. Reporting that reduces stigma about mental disorders/seeking mental healthcare, and that challenges common myths about suicide <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • refer to research linking mental disorders with suicide • highlight that mental disorders are treatable and therefore that suicide is preventable • highlight the tragedy of suicide (i.e., describe it in terms of a lost opportunity for someone suffering to have received help) • seek advice from suicide prevention experts and consider including quotes on causes and treatments 3. Alternatives to suicide (i.e., treatment) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • include community resource information, such as websites or hotlines, for those with suicidal thoughts • where possible, list or link to a list of options including reaching out to a trusted family or community member, speaking to a physician or health care provider, seeking counselling/talk therapy, calling a hotline/911, or going to a nearby emergency department • where possible, cite examples of a positive outcome of a suicidal crisis (i.e., calling a suicide hotline) • embed emergency resource links/banners (for online content) 4. Information for relatives and friends, such as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • warning signs of suicidal behaviour • how to approach, support and protect a suicidal person

^aWe acknowledge that suicide death of prominent figures will invariably result in serial coverage but urge journalists to nevertheless weigh the need for additional stories.

^b"Commit" evokes a crime, since suicide was historically criminalized; however, this terminology is not consistent with the modern understanding of suicide evolving from a treatable disorder.

or novel methods of suicide are involved. Therefore, publicizing these details should be avoided.

3. Emergency resource links should be included in all articles that deal with suicide.

Guidelines universally advise the media to provide resources, such as crisis lines, to people contemplating suicide. Online platforms afford an opportunity to go a step further. Reports themselves can be accompanied by embedded links to crisis services to facilitate access, thereby decreasing barriers to help-seeking.

Recommendations for Social Media

As described, this is largely uncharted territory in Canada and throughout the world. The recommendations below are meant to be a starting point, with the intention that future iterations of the CPA policy paper will refine and expand on them with input from social media organizations.

We recommend:

1. A novel collaboration between Canadian mental health professionals and social media organizations. Just as journalists are the experts in their area and must take a leadership role in responsible reporting of suicide, those best positioned to address suicide on social media are the designers of the social media sites themselves. In replicating efforts that have been successful with the traditional media, the CPA and mental health professionals should organize meetings, symposia, and forums to address the topic of suicide collaboratively with social media stakeholders.
2. Social media organizations consider the degree to which they might be used as a platform for suicide prevention. Specific efforts may include 1) providing information and resources to people who make suicide-related queries or posts, 2) including “panic buttons” that allow for rapid access to crisis services/hotlines, 3) providing mechanisms for users to report if they are concerned about someone with the possibility for rapid intervention, and 4) moderating forums that frequently include suicide-related postings and making sure to remove inappropriate posts.

Recommendations for Dissemination of Guidelines

Evidence from other countries suggests that media guidelines work best when there is ongoing collaboration between suicide prevention experts, journalists, journalism schools, and public health policy experts.³⁹

We recommend:

1. Ongoing collaboration between journalists and mental health professionals, acknowledging scientific evidence and the autonomy of journalists.
2. All journalism schools include teaching of how to report responsibly and respectfully on the topic of suicide, including attention to issues related to ethics and social justice.
3. Media training for mental health professionals who are likely to be called on to comment on suicide in the press.
4. Education for policy-makers and other prominent figures who may be asked to comment publicly on the topic of suicide.

Conclusions & Future Directions

These recommendations mainly rely on data from large, natural experiments, which must be interpreted with a note of caution. Nevertheless, the weight of evidence suggests that certain types of media reporting, particularly those that glamorize suicide or a person who has died by suicide, can and do influence some people to die by suicide. Similarly, reporting that describes people overcoming suicidal crises and finding other solutions may encourage help seeking and more adaptive coping strategies. Further high-quality research is needed to identify which putatively harmful and protective elements of media reports mediate risk and confer benefit, respectively. More studies on the influence of media reporting in Canada and the impact of social media on suicide are also needed. The Canadian Psychiatric Association and mental health professionals across Canada are committed to helping the media make informed decisions about when and how to report on suicide. These efforts will ideally involve collaborative partnerships among all stakeholders, including mental health professionals, members of the media, individuals with lived experience, and all those touched by suicide. These ongoing collaborations, and future efforts that also include social media platforms, will provide the best opportunity to address this important issue.

References

1. Nepon J, Fotti S, Katz LY, et al. Canadian Psychiatric Association Policy Paper: Media guidelines for reporting suicide. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2009;5(Suppl):1–5.
2. Gould MS. Suicide and the media. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2001;932:200–21.
3. Hawton K, Williams K. Influences of the media on suicide. *BMJ*. 2002;325(7377):1374–5.

4. Niederkrotenthaler T, Fu KW, Yip PS, et al. Changes in suicide rates following media reports on celebrity suicide: A meta-analysis. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2012;66(11):1037–42.
5. Niederkrotenthaler T, Voracek M, Herberth A, et al. Role of media reports in completed and prevented suicide: Werther v. Papageno effects. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2010;197(3):234–43.
6. Pirkis JE, Burgess PM, Francis C, et al. The relationship between media reporting of suicide and actual suicide in Australia. *Soc Sci Med*. 2006;62(11):2874–86.
7. Pirkis J, Blood RW. Suicide and the media. Part I: Reportage in nonfictional media. *Crisis*. 2001;22(4):146–54.
8. Tousignant M, Mishara B, Caillaud A, et al. The impact of media coverage of the suicide of a well-known Quebec reporter: The case of Gaetan Girouard. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005;60:1919–26.
9. Etzersdorfer E, Voracek M, Sonneck G. A dose-response relationship between imitational suicides and newspaper distribution. *Arch Suicide Res*. 2004;8(2):137–45.
10. Stack S. Media Coverage as a risk factor in suicide. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2003;57:238–40.
11. Stack S. Suicide in the media: A quantitative review of studies based on nonfictional stories. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*. 2005;35(2):121–33.
12. Cheng A, Hawton K, Lee C, et al. The influence of media reporting of the suicide of a celebrity on suicide rates: A population-based study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2007;36(6):1229–34.
13. Pirkis J, Blood W, Beautrais A, et al. Media guidelines on the reporting of suicide. *Crisis*. 2006;27(2):82–7.
14. Pirkis J, Francis C, Blood R, et al. Reporting of suicide in the Australian media. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2002;36(2):190–7.
15. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol Rev*. 1977;84(2):191–215.
16. Niederkrotenthaler T, Till B, Kapusta ND, et al. Copycat effects after media reports on suicide: A population-based ecologic study. *Soc Sci Med*. 2009;69(7):1085–90.
17. Gould MS, Kleinman MH, Lake AM, et al. Newspaper coverage of suicide and initiation of suicide clusters in teenagers in the USA, 1988–96: A retrospective, population-based, case-control study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2014;1(1):34–43.
18. Gould M, Greenberg T, Velting D, et al. Youth suicide risk and preventive interventions: A review of the past 10 years. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2003;42(4):386–405.
19. Gould M, Jamieson P, Romer D. Media contagion and suicide among the young. *Am Behav Scientist*. 2003;46(9):1269–84.
20. Gould M, Kramer R. Youth suicide prevention. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*. 2001;31(Suppl):6–31.
21. Gould M, Wallenstein S, Kleinman M, et al. Suicide clusters: An examination of age-specific effects. *Am J Public Health*. 1990;80(2):211–2.
22. Shoval G, Zalsman G, Polaketch J, et al. Effect of the broadcast of a television documentary about a teenager's suicide in Israel on suicidal behavior and methods. *Crisis*. 2005;26(1):20–4.
23. Fu KW, Chan CH. A study of the impact of thirteen celebrity suicides on subsequent suicide rates in South Korea from 2005 to 2009. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(1):e53870.
24. Suh S, Chang Y, Kim N. Quantitative exponential modelling of copycat suicides: Association with mass media effect in South Korea. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*. 2015;24(2):150–7.
25. Schäfer M, Quiring O. The press coverage of celebrity suicide and the development of suicide frequencies in Germany. *Health Commun*. 2015;30(11):1149–58.
26. Kim JH, Park EC, Nam JM, et al. The Werther effect of two celebrity suicides: An entertainer and a politician. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(12):e84876.
27. Ueda M, Mori K, Matsubayashi T. The effects of media reports of suicides by well-known figures between 1989 and 2010 in Japan. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014;43(2):623–9.
28. Yip PS, Fu KW, Yang KC, et al. The effects of a celebrity suicide on suicide rates in Hong Kong. *J Affect Disord*. 2006;93(1–3):245–52.
29. Marzuk PM, Tardiff K, Hirsch CS, et al. Increase in suicide by asphyxiation in New York City after the publication of *Final Exit*. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;329(20):1508–10.
30. Hawton K, Simkin S, Deeks JD, et al. Effects of a drug overdose in a television drama on presentations to hospital for self-poisoning: Time series and questionnaire study. *BMJ*. 1999;318:972–7.
31. Chen YY, Tsai PC, Chen PH, et al. Effect of media reporting of the suicide of a singer in Taiwan: The case of Ivy Li. *Social Psychiatry Psychiatric Epidemiol*. 2010;45:363–9.
32. Cheng ATA, Hawton K, Chen THH, et al. The influence of media coverage of a celebrity suicide on subsequent suicide attempts. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2007;68(6):862–6.
33. Cheng ATA, Hawton K, Chen THH, et al. The influence of media reporting of a celebrity suicide on suicidal behaviour in patients with a history of depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2007;103(1–3):69–75.
34. Tsai CW, Gunnell D, Chou YH, et al. Why do people choose charcoal burning as a method of suicide? An interview based study of survivors in Taiwan. *J Affect Disord*. 2011;131:402–7.
35. Centers for Disease Control. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, suicide contagion and the reporting of suicide: Recommendations from a national workshop [Internet]. 1994. Available from: <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/00031539.htm>.
36. World Health Organization (WHO). Preventing suicide: A resource for media professionals [Internet]. WHO Press, 2008. Available from: http://www.who.int/mental_health/prevention/suicide/resource_media.pdf.
37. American Foundation for Suicide Prevention. Recommendations for suicide reporting [Internet]. Available from: <http://afsp.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/recommendations.pdf>.
38. Mindframe. Reporting suicide and mental illness: A mindframe resource for media professionals [Internet]. Hunter Institute of Mental Health, 2014. Available from: <http://www.mindframe-media.info/for-media/reporting-suicide?a=10217>.
39. Canadian Association For Suicide Prevention (CASP). Media guidelines. Available from: <http://suicideprevention.ca/understanding/for-media/>. [Accessed November 11, 2016].
40. The Canadian journalism forum on violence and trauma. Mindset guidelines for reporting on mental health [Internet]. 2014. Available from: <http://suicideprevention.ca/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/Mindset.compressed.pdf>.
41. Etzersdorfer E, Sonneck G. Preventing suicide by influencing mass-media reporting: The Viennese experience, 1980–1996. *Arch Suicide Res*. 1998;4:67–74.
42. Niederkrotenthaler T, Sonneck G. Assessing the impact of media guidelines for reporting on suicides in Austria: Interrupted time series analysis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2007;41(5):419–28.

43. Pirkis J, Dare A, Blood RW, et al. Changes in media reporting of suicide in Australia between 2000/01 and 2006/07. *Crisis*. 2009;30(1):25–33.
44. Fu KW, Yip PS. Changes in reporting of suicide news after the promotion of the WHO media recommendations. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*. 2008;38(5):631–6.
45. Bohanna I, Wang X. Media guidelines for the responsible reporting of suicide: A review of effectiveness. *Crisis*. 2012;33(4):190–8.
46. Kryszynska K, Batterham PJ, Tye M, et al. Best strategies for reducing the suicide rate in Australia. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2016;50(2):115–8.
47. Christensen H, Cuijpers P, Reynolds CF 3rd. Changing the direction of suicide prevention research: A necessity for true population impact. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73(5):435–6.
48. Creed M, Whitley R. Assessing fidelity to suicide reporting guidelines in Canadian news media: The death of Robin Williams. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2017;62(5):313–317.
49. Sinyor M, Pirkis J, Picard A, et al. Towards a shared understanding: Perspectives from Toronto’s first media forum for suicide prevention. *Can J Public Health*. 2016;107(3):e330–2.
50. Ladurantaye S. How the taboo against reporting on suicide met its end. *The Globe and Mail*. Dec. 10, 2011. Available from: <http://www.theglobeandmail.com/life/health-and-fitness/health/conditions/how-the-taboo-against-reporting-on-suicide-met-its-end/article4181695/?page=all>.
51. Campion-Smith B. Suicide, social media and newsroom taboos: How new media are changing the way suicides are reported. Ottawa (ON): Carleton University School of Journalism and Communication; 2015.
52. Luxton DD, June JD, Fairall JM. Social media and suicide: a public health perspective. *Am J Public Health*. 2012;102(Suppl 2):S195–200.
53. Biddle L, Derges J, Mars B, et al. Suicide and the internet: Changes in the accessibility of suicide-related information between 2007 and 2014. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;190:370–5.
54. Biddle L, Donovan J, Hawton K, et al. Suicide and the internet. *BMJ*. 2008;336(7648):800–2.
55. Dunlop SM, More E, Romer D. Where do youth learn about suicides on the internet, and what influence does this have on suicidal ideation? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2011;52(10):1073–80.
56. Robertson L, Skegg K, Poore M, et al. An adolescent suicide cluster and the possible role of electronic communication technology. *Crisis*. 2012;33(4):239–45.
57. Gunnell D, Derges J, Chang SS, et al. Searching for suicide methods: Accessibility of information about helium as a method of suicide on the internet. *Crisis*. 2015;36(5):325–31.
58. Morii D, Yasusuke M, Nakamae N, et al. Japanese experience of hydrogen sulfide: The suicide craze in 2008. *J Occup Med Toxicol*. 2011;5:28.
59. Lewis SP, Heath NL, St Denis JM, et al. The scope of nonsuicidal self-injury on YouTube. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(3):e552–7.
60. Baume P, Cantor CH, Rolfe A. Cybersuicide: The role of interactive suicide notes on the Internet. *Crisis*. 1997;18(2):73–9.
61. Ruder TD, Hatch GM, Ampanozi G, et al. Suicide announcement on Facebook. *Crisis*. 2011;32(5):280–2.
62. Eggertson L. Social media embraces suicide prevention. *CMAJ*. 2015;187(11):E333.
63. Rice S, Robinson J, Bendall S, et al. Online and social media suicide prevention interventions for young people: A Focus on implementation and moderation. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2016;25(2):80–6.
64. Robinson J, Cox G, Bailey E, et al. Social media and suicide prevention: A systematic review. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2016;10(2):103–21.
65. Gunn Iii JF, Lester D. Media guidelines in the internet age. *Crisis*. 2012;33(4):187–9.
66. Maloney J, Pfuhlmann B, Arensman E, et al. How to adjust media recommendations on reporting suicidal behavior to new media developments. *Arch Suicide Res*. 2014;18(2):156–69.
67. Chen YY, Tsai CW, Biddle L, et al. Newspaper reporting and the emergence of charcoal burning suicide in Taiwan: A mixed methods approach. *J Affect Disord*. 2016;193:355–61.
68. Chen YY, Yip PS, Chan CH, et al. The impact of a celebrity’s suicide on the introduction and establishment of a new method of suicide in South Korea. *Arch Suicide Res*. 2014;18(2):221–6.
69. Gunnell D, Coope C, Fearn V, et al. Suicide by gases in England and Wales 2001-2011: Evidence of the emergence of new methods of suicide. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;170:190–5.
70. Thomas K, Chang SS, Gunnell D. Suicide epidemics: The impact of newly emerging methods on overall suicide rates - a time trends study. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11:314.