

---

**Subject:** Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Order Requests and Purchase Change Order Requests Exceeding \$100,000

**Report to:** Public Works Committee

**Report date:** Tuesday, June 15, 2021

---

## **Recommendations**

1. That the Single Source Purchase Order Requests identified in Appendix 1 of Report PW 27-2021 **BE APPROVED**.

## **Key Facts**

- At the Special Council meeting on July 30, 2020, Regional Council instructed staff that, “If any single source [Public Works] procurement [over \$5000] is deemed essential there must be approval first received by the Public Works Committee.”
- On August 18, 2020, the CAO provided Confidential Memorandum CONF-C 6-2020 providing key information in response to the July 30, 2020 staff direction. The memo identified that pursuant to a formal competitive procurement process, a change to the resulting contract (via the Change PO process) is required for any additional goods and/or services, which were not part of that formal process (consider Single Source additions). This includes most (if not all) instances where the “work” is underway when a Change PO request initiates.
- At the Council meeting on September 17, 2020, Regional Council approved an increase in the limit to \$100,000 above which approval must first be received by the Public Works Committee.

## **Financial Considerations**

The included Single Source requests have approved funding in place from either Capital or Operating budgets in the respective divisions in Public Works.

## **Analysis**

Niagara Region’s Procurement By-law 02-2016 as amended February 28, 2019 provides controls and methods that ensure, among other things, that the procurement

processes undertaken to procure Goods and/or Services achieves, “best value for the Corporation”.

Pursuant to the formal procurement process, which culminates in contract award, there are occasions, when new information identified after award, requires further consideration of how these unforeseen additional requirements will impact the final project deliverable.

When this happens, staff consider the following alternative approaches to addressing the scope change:

1. Is the original project objective still achievable or should it be abandoned?
2. Can the project proceed as originally planned and this new information be deferred to a later time without reducing the integrity of the design, construction, etc.?
3. Is the current work at a point where it can be terminated, and a new competitive procurement for the additional scope items be initiated without excessive costs or negative impacts to the community from the delays?
4. Does the addition of this new work to the current assignment still achieve best value if Staff can validate that it represents fair value?

Staff note, that where a construction project is underway, the Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act dictates that, “When an owner undertakes a project by contracting with more than one employer (contractor), the owner is undertaking the project and is the constructor.” As a result, if Niagara Region were to initiate additional work on a project site at the same time by two contractors, Niagara Region would assume significant additional liability risk for the safety of all workers on the site. Ideally, the work of first contractor must be completed prior second contractor commencing.

## **Alternatives Reviewed**

Staff have considered the following alternatives for each of the change order requests appended as Appendix 1:

- Closing out the current work. Abandoning the previous approach and re-considering strategy;
- Proceeding as originally planned and addressing the new information/change in scope at a later time through a competitive process; and,

- Terminating the current contract where possible and conducting a competitive procurement process to complete the work with the additional scope items added.

Staff conclude that none of the aforementioned options achieves the desired best value outcome without significant risk to the Region in terms of cost, delay and unavailability of critical infrastructure. Staff have assessed the financial impact of these additionally scoped items, deemed them fair and reasonable hence, the recommendation contained herein is presented for approval as it offers the best value for the Corporation given in the specific circumstances.

### **Relationship to Council Strategic Priorities**

- Responsible Growth and Infrastructure Planning

### **Other Pertinent Reports**

- CONF-C 6-2020, July 30, 2020 Closed Session - Update from Special Council Meeting
- PW 42-2020, September 8, 2020 - Approval of Various Single Source Public Works New Purchase Orders and Purchase Order Change Request
- PW 45-2020, October 13, 2020 - Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Requests Over \$100,000
- PW 47-2020, November 10, 2020 Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Requests Over \$100,000
- PW 3-2021, January 12, 2021 Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Requests Over \$100,000
- PW 7-2021, February 16, 2021 Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Requests Over \$100,000
- PW 13-2021, March 9, 2021 Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Requests Over \$100,000
- PW 17-2021, April 13, 2021 Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Requests Over \$100,000
- PW 25, 2021, May 11, 2021 Approval of Public Works Single Source Purchase Requests Over \$100,000

---

**Prepared and Recommended by:**

Bruce Zvaniga, P.Eng.  
Commissioner of Public Works (Interim)  
Public Works Department

---

**Submitted by:**

Ron Tripp, P.Eng.  
Acting Chief Administrative Officer

This report was prepared in consultation with Dan Ane, Manager Program Financial Support and Bart Menage, Director Procurement and Strategic Acquisitions

**Appendices**

Appendix 1            Single Source Purchase Order Requests