

Origin of comment	Comment	Response
Khaldoon Ahmad, Urban Design, Niagara Region	Do we need policy related to the Linear Park to capture this as a structuring element within the district?	Wording for trails and connectivity captured in Policy 4.G.14.C.5
Jack Thompson, Transportation, Niagara Region	Comments related to minor policy clarification	Changes made as required and appropriate.
Denise Landry, Senior Planner, City of Thorold	<p>Staff is supportive of the review time of 10 years but suggests the addition of “in consultation with stakeholders”</p> <p>Gateways and the consistency with the Brock Business Park Secondary Plan</p> <p>Urban Design Guidelines wording</p> <p>Servicing - BluePlan memo re: capacity upgrades and the change from Institutional to Mixed Use</p>	<p>Change made - Policy 4.G.14.C.9</p> <p>Discussed and clarified the locations of the gateways to the District vs. the Brock Business Park.</p> <p>Change made to Policy 4.G.14.C.4 - Policy provides flexibility for the City to use as appropriate.</p> <p>Policy added</p>
Ken Scholtens, Economic Development, Niagara Region	Comments indicate support and encouragement for additional economic development efforts within the District Plan area and suggests review of incentives to encourage investment in the Niagara Gateway Economic Centre.	Comments captured and supported through various policies.
Brian Hutchings, Vice President, Brock University	<p>Slight wording changes requested.</p> <p>Brock University provided a letter of support for the ROPA as well as the District Plan and the continued collaboration. The university advised that the District Plan aligns well with several of their long term objectives.</p>	Changes made

Regional Development Engineering	Requested acknowledgement of the servicing study in the area and the need for review for future applications.	Added Policy 4.G.14.C.11 The Brock Master Plan Servicing Study (City of Thorold, 2014) and/or any other servicing strategy shall be reviewed to determine the servicing requirements and, if required, any upgrades as part of any future (re)development application within the Brock District Plan area.
Niagara Escarpment Commission (NEC)	NEC staff presented a report to their Commission related to the Lockhart Dr lands. They advised they were concerned with how the lands were shown on a map that was previously included for reference. Staff presented their position on the location of the boundary between the Escarpment Urban Area designation and the Escarpment Natural Area designation.	The map has been removed. In response to the comments regarding Lockhart Drive, the Brock District ROPA provides policy direction that supports Natural Heritage and the NEP. Specifically, any future land use changes shall be reviewed in the context of the Brock District Plan, as well as the Natural Heritage policies of Section 7B of the Regional Official Plan and the policies of the NEP (per ROPA Policy 4.G.14.C.8).
CN	No comments.	Noted.
Bruce Bellows, Planner, City of St. Catharines	Requested minor revision to Specific Objective c) in Section 14.G.14B which I think should read “within <u>and outside</u> the Niagara Escarpment Plan Area.” Comments provided relate to the Lockhart Dr lands and the representation of Niagara Escarpment Plan designation on the map that was removed.	Policy amended to include proposed wording and remove “Plan Area.” See response to NEC comments.
Ministry of Municipal Affairs	No comments provided.	Noted.
Don Alexander, public	Concerns presented regarding the northern most lands along Lockhart Drive shown as “Peripheral Development Lands” on one of the District Plan maps.	The map has been removed. The ROPA and the District Plan do not provide specific land use direction for these lands. Any

		future land use changes shall be reviewed in the context of the Brock District Plan, as well as the Natural Heritage policies of Section 7B of the Regional Official Plan and the policies of the NEP (per ROPA Policy 4.G.14.C.8).
Enbridge Pipelines Inc.	No concerns.	Noted.