Q2 2025 Year-to-Date Budget vs. Actual and Forecasted Variance Analysis - Base Operations (in thousands of dollars)

| Object of Expenditure | Year-to-Date Budget | Year-to-Date Actuals | Year-to-Date Variance (\$) | Annual Budget | Forecasted Annual Actuals | Forecasted Annual Variance (\$) | Forecasted Annual Variance (%) | Note |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------|
| Labour Related Costs | \$ 1,233 | \$ 1,050 | \$ 183 | \$ 2,490 | \$ 2,311 | \$ 179 | 7.2% | 1 |
| Administrative | 722 | 736 | -14 | 1,432 | 1,318 | 114 | 8.0% | 2 |
| Operational and Supply | 266 | 480 | -214 | 238 | 548 | -310 | -130.3% | 3 |
| Equipment, Vehicles and Technology | 23 | 15 | 8 | 46 | 46 | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Financial Expenditures | 87 | 56 | 31 | 174 | 113 | 61 | 35.3% | 4 |
| Total Expenditures | 2,331 | 2,337 | -6 | 4,380 | 4,336 | 44 | 1.0% | |
| Revenues | -3,088 | -3,327 | 239 | -5,741 | -6,036 | 295 | -5.1% | 5 |
| Intercompany Charges | -1 | -4 | 3 | -1 | -5 | 4 | -325.6% | |
| Net Expenditure (Revenue) Before Transfers and Indirect Allocations | -757 | -994 | 237 | -1,362 | -1,705 | 343 | -25.2% | |
| Transfer to Funds | 100 | 100 | 0 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Net Expenditure (Revenue) Before Indirect Allocations | -657 | -894 | 237 | -1,162 | -1,505 | 343 | -29.5% | |
| Indirect Allocations and Debt | 452 | 472 | -20 | 1,062 | 1,080 | -18 | -1.7% | |
| Net Expenditure (Revenue) After Transfers and Indirect Allocations | -205 | -422 | 217 | -100 | -425 | 325 | -324.0% | |

- Note 1 The favourable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$183 and \$179 respectively are due to position gapping.
- Note 2 The favourable forecasted variance of \$114 is due to lower than anticipated Victim Fine Surcharge costs, partially offset by an unfavourable variance in Payticket online payment services.
- Note 3 The unfavourable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$214 and \$310 respectively are due to the higher than budgeted distribution to LAMs in the first half of the year.
- Note 4 The favorable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$31 and \$61 respectively are due to lower than anticipated collections commissions fee contracts, as well as internal efforts resulting in quicker payment thereby avoiding commissions.
- Note 5 The favorable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$239 and \$295 are due to higher than anticipated delinquent revenues and includes \$343 of anomalous high-fine payments which occur outside the normal course of operations. These high-fine payments are tracked separately from regular infraction and delinquent revenues to ensure a better oversight of revenues during the reforecasting and budgeting processes. These variances are partially offset by lower than anticipated infraction revenues.