

Object of Expenditure	Year-to-Date Budget	Year-to-Date Actuals	Year-to-Date Variance (\$)	Annual Budget	Forecasted Annual Actuals	Forecasted Annual Variance (\$)	Forecasted Annual Variance (%)	Note
Labour Related Costs	\$ 410	\$ 276	\$ 134	\$ 831	\$ 682	\$ 149	17.9%	1
Administrative	959	541	418	1,919	1,374	545	28.4%	2
Operational and Supply	-62	544	-606	946	1,072	-126	-13.3%	3
Occupancy and Infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Equipment, Vehicles and Technology	5	1	4	11	8	3	28.6%	
Financial Expenditures	18	10	8	35	21	14	41.1%	
Total Expenditures	1,330	1,372	-42	3,741	3,156	585	15.6%	
Revenues	-2,352	-2,468	116	-7,256	-6,125	-1,130	15.6%	4
Intercompany Charges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Net Expenditure (Revenue) Before Transfers and Indirect Allocations	-1,021	-1,096	75	-3,515	-2,970	-544	15.5%	
Transfer to Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	
Net Expenditure (Revenue) Before Indirect Allocations	-1,021	-1,096	75	-3,515	-2,970	-544	15.5%	
Indirect Allocations and Debt	151	74	77	371	292	79	21.3%	
Net Expenditure (Revenue) After Transfers and Indirect Allocations	-870	-1,021	151	-3,144	-2,678	-466	14.8%	
Intercompany Charges-Transportation	-974	-494	-480	-2,281	-1,637	-644	28.2%	5
Net Expenditure (Revenue) After Transportation Allocation	104	-527	631	-863	-1,041	178	-20.6%	
Net Revenues Allocation (Region Share)	104	-527	631	-863	-1,041	178	0.0%	6
Net Expenditure (Revenue) After Net Revenues Allocation to LAMs/Region	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0%	

Note 1 - The favourable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$134 and \$149 respectively are due to budgeted positions in VZ which have not yet been filled. As part of the ongoing launch of the VZ program, Court Services is assessing staffing needs on an ongoing basis and hiring positions only as necessary.

Note 2 - The favourable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$418 and \$545 respectively are due to lower than anticipated Victim Fine Surcharge, and adjudication and interpreter costs which are related to lower than anticipated court dates required for VZ.

Note 3 - The unfavourable year-to-date variance of \$606 is due to misalignment in the spread of the annual budget. The forecasted unfavourable variance of \$126 is due to higher than budgeted distribution to LAMs, offset by savings on mailing services and call in prosecutors.

Note 4 - The unfavourable forecasted variance of \$1,130 is due to lower than anticipated charging volumes which is partially a result of the labour disruptions at Canada Post. Charging volumes of ASE and RLC tickets are outside the control of Court Services and since Q1, the volumes have been increasing and are anticipated to stabilize throughout the remainder of the year.

Note 5 - The unfavourable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$480 and \$644 are due to lower than anticipated transfer of VZ revenues to the Transportation division to cover the operating expenditures directly related to VZ within that area.

Note 6 - The favourable year-to-date and forecasted variances of \$631 and \$178 are due to the higher than budgeted transfer of the Region's share of VZ net revenues per the Inter-Municipal Agreement.