IRANSIT FORWARD

Niagara Region On-Demand Transit

Matt Robinson – Director, GO Implementation Office, Niagara Region

Robert Salewytsch – Program Manager – Transit Services, Niagara Region





Agenda

Background

- Fixed-Route versus On-Demand
- Why Via?
- Niagara Feasibility Study
- Next Steps





Background

- IMT Service Enhancement Implementation Strategy (LNTC-C 21, 22, 23, 2018) identified NRT expansion pilot projects for Niagara West, as well as Pelham and Lincoln connections
- Niagara Transit Service Delivery and Governance Strategy (Dillion, 2017)
 - Crystal Beach and Sherkston connectivity
- NRT service expansions approved in 2019 Operating Budget
- Modelling simulation done in coordination with IMTWG
 - Part of IMTWG/LNTC workplan
- Jurisdictional challenges pushed Niagara West IMT implementation to 2020





Agenda

✓ Background

• Fixed-Route versus On-Demand

- Why Via?
- Niagara Feasibility Study
- Next Steps





Transportation is facing unprecedented transformation







Fixed-Route versus On-Demand

Fixed-Route

- Limited area coverage
- Relies on peak demand to maximize vehicle utilization = EMPTY BUSES
- Requires 4 months to adjust schedules and routing
- Requires a special license, limited driver pool
- Limited data

On-Demand

- Complete area coverage
- Vehicle utilization responds to demand in real-time
- Dynamically adjusts routing
- Requires standard G license, increases potential driver pool
- Significant data analytic potential











On-demand transit technology achieves significant improvement across multiple operational metrics



(1) Based on 60-min loop. Excludes 1-hour during AM/PM weekday peak with 30-min loop. Note: Utilization and cost figures estimated based on best available information.





Agenda

- ✓ Background
- ✓ Fixed-Route versus On-Demand
- Why Via?
- Niagara Feasibility Study
- Next Steps





Why Use Via?

Consulting and Planning

In-depth analysis using proprietary approaches and tools to understand the potential for innovative mobility



Microtransit Operations (TaaS)

Turnkey solution that includes technology plus drivers, vehicles, and operations management



King County METRO BVG



LONDON AMSTERDAM MILTON KEYNES

Microtransit Platform (SaaS)

Licensing Via's on-demand shuttle system to transit agencies and operators who prefer to use their own vehicles and drivers



New Mobility Solutions

Mobility-as-a-Service (MaaS) products, demand management tools, school bus platforms, and more...

Department of

Education





The Chemical Company





Via's Global Presence: Via, ViaVan and Partners







Via in Canada

Sault Ste Marie, ON

- Launched September 2019
- Provide on-demand service on Sunday evenings
- Goal: reduce the number of vehicles in operation quality of service for residents
- Utilization in first few weeks of service has been
 and exceeded expectations



Longueuil, QC

Launched October 2018



 Provides first mile, last mile connection to EXO stations in St. Bruno de Montarville





CONNECTING MORE PEOPLE TO MORE POSSIBILITIES

SAULT STE MARIE









Reports and Shared Data



Via's experienced data science team will provide high-touch support and bespoke

Efficiency Dashboard









Agenda

- ✓ Background
- Fixed-Route versus On-Demand
- ✓Why Via?
- Niagara Feasibility Study
- Next Steps





Niagara Feasibility Study

- **Project Goal:** To understand how **on-demand transit** can best provide coverage in low-density and under-served areas of Niagara (e.g., Western Niagara)?
- **Consultant: Via** (an on-demand transit planner, technology provider, and operator).
- Scope of Work:
 - Understand existing transit options (bus ridership data, specialized transit data, Transportation Tomorrow Survey.
 - Develop potential service 'scenarios' (see following slides)
 - Simulate each scenario number of vehicles, utilization (passengers per vehicle hour), wait times, walking distance, etc.
 - Agree on an optimal solution for inter- and intra-municipal transit options



Screenshot of a simulation performed using Via's simulation tool. The map displays routing, pickups, and drop-offs, while the dashboard left of the map displays key performance indicators including the number of requests, wait time distributions, and pickup and drop-off walking distance.





Overview of Simulations

Assumptions

- Simulated low, medium, high demand scenarios
- Demand patterns were based on Transportation Tomorrow Survey and specialized transit data (see example demand heat map, upper right)
- Wait times: 20 30 min average, 60 min max.
- Walking distances: 100 200m average walk to pick up/drop-off, curb-to-curb where walking is unsafe or difficult.
- Vehicles: 6+ seat minivans recommended (see example vehicle, lower right).



Example demand heatmap for Grimsby



Example vehicle type





Inter-municipal Scenarios

Niagara Regional Transit can only provide **inter-municipal** trips. However, residents also want to travel **within** their municipality. 'First Mile, Last Mile' (FMLM) options:

Compliant FMLM Option (least flexible):

- Travel to nearest transit hub in another municipality ONLY (e.g., Grimsby residents must travel to Lincoln to connect to a bus/train)
- Requires another option for intra-municipal trips



Non-compliant FMLM Option (more flexible):

- Allow trips to nearest transit hub (even in same municipality)
- Based on their origin, each passenger will only have 1-2 possible destinations (transit hubs)







Inter-municipal Scenarios

Integrated / Most Flexible Option:

- All trips permitted (between or within municipalities)
- Most efficient option (\$)
- Connections to St. Catharines and Welland
- Requires partnership with each municipality in the defined zone.
- Zone boundary can be amended depending on local participation
- Separate municipal services not required



Confidential and Trade Secret





Comparison Between Medium Scenarios

Intra-municipal Scenarios

Zone	Zone Size (sq mi)	On-Demand (trips / day)	Vehicles (# of 6 seat vans)	Utilization (trips / vehicle hour)
Grimsby	29	60 - 138	3 - 4	2.2 - 3.8
Lincoln	60	46 - 108	3 - 4	1.7 - 3.0
West Lincoln	153	18 - 52	3 - 4	1.0 - 1.9
Wainfleet	89	4 - 23	1 - 2	0.5 - 1.3
Pelham	49	24 - 69	2 - 3	1.3 - 2.6
Total	380	151 - 390	12 - 17	1.5 - 2.5

- 12 17 vehicles required (medium scenario)
- Estimated 150 390 trips across all zones
- No travel between zones (medium scenario would require 4-6 more vehicles)
- No connection to St. Catharines or Welland
- Confusing for riders



Inter-municipal Scenarios (3x options)

Zone	Zone Size (sq mi)	On-Demand (trips / day)	Vehicles (# of 6 seat vans)	Utilization (trips / vehicle hour)
a) Integrated Western Zone (below, left)	380+	270 - 470	12 - 17	2.5 - 3.1
b) Nearest transit hub (below, center)	380+	227 - 428	12 - 17	2.1 - 2.8
c) Nearest inter-municipal transit hub (below, right)	380+	205 - 413	12 - 17	1.9 - 2. 7

- Assuming 12 17 vehicles, more trips (270 470 trips day) could be completed (including intra- and inter-municipal trips)
- Less efficient if trips are restricted to transit hubs (b & c)







Additional Inter-municipal Opportunities

Port Colborne:

- Community bus completes 40 60 trips / day
- On-demand service expected to complete 50 150 trips per day across the entire municipality with 3-4 vehicles (medium scenario)
- Could complement community bus (during low demand periods)





Heat map and service area used to simulate on-demand transit

Fort Erie:

- Existing buses (#750, #760, #770) complete
 100 150 trips / day
- On-demand service expected to complete 70 150 trips per day across the entire municipality with 3-4 vehicles (medium scenario)
- Could complement existing fixed-route services (or potentially replace one or more fixed routes)





Heat map and service area used to simulate on-demand transit





Agenda

- ✓ Background
- ✓ Fixed-Route versus On-Demand
- ✓ Why Via?
- ✓Niagara Feasibility Study
- Next Steps





Next Steps

Service Model Design and Simulation

Hours of operation, number of vehicles, zone identification

Advanced microtransit simulation measuring demand and expected quality of service (wait times, trip lengths, etc **01**

Model Confirmation

Submit Recommendations Report to Public Works Committee – Nov. 5

Confirm local partnerships

02

Localization and Implementation Finalize service design and prepare for implementation

Roll out applications and service for testing in preparation of launch

03

Continuous Optimization

Ongoing support to ensure targets are being reached

04





Questions?

Matt Robinson – Director, GO Implementation Office, Niagara Region Robert Salewytsch – Program Manager – Transit Services, Niagara Region





IRANSIT FORWARD